



Docket No.: 219002029000  
(PATENT)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EL 961 007 364 US, in an envelope addressed to: MS ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: September 27, 2004

Signature: 

(Rebecca McElroy)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Babu J. MAVUNKEL et al.

Application No.: 09/575,060

Filed: May 19, 2000

For: INDOLE-TYPE DERIVATIVES AS  
INHIBITORS OF p38 KINASE

Group Art Unit: 1625

Examiner: Celia C. Chang

**AMENDMENT AFTER ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 CFR 1.312**

MS ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants respectfully request entry of the following amendment to the specification of the application identified above prior to issuance. The amendment is offered concurrently with payment of the issue fee.

The amendment replaces Table 2 with a cleaner version by removing the label "Chiral" that was associated with five of the structures that show a specific chiral configuration and not with others (e.g., 17, 38, 45, 48, etc.) that are drawn the same way. For consistency, the label has been removed from compounds 57, 89, 92, 96, and 100, as indicated by the lined out word "~~chiral~~" in the bracketed figure. The amendment also removes a column of molecular weight data from Table 3 that is not essential in light of the disclosure, where removal improves the visual impact of the Table. The amendment also provides a clean version of the structure of compound 176 in Table 3.

That structure contained extraneous characters that were the result of an obvious printing error in the originally filed application. The structure of compound number 176 as originally presented is shown along with the corrected version; the structure to be deleted is lined out and bracketed.

No new matter is added by this amendment, the information to be removed was not relied upon during prosecution, and the amendment does not raise any issues the Examiner has not previously considered.